The Directo Central Intelligence

Washington, D. C. 2050S

13 September 1984

Dear Bud,

Here is the publication of the GAC report on $\ensuremath{\mathsf{arms}}$ control violations.

Yours,

William J. Casey

The Honorable Robert C. McFarlane Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs The White House Washington, D. C. 20500













Bise of a New Era

141 DAY, AUGUST 31, 1984

NEW YORK, NEW YORK

COODY 1984 NEWS WORLD COMMUNICATIONS 20 CENTS

hite House may bare Soviet treaty violations Description to the last the la 'Explosive' study kept from public

A QUARTER CENTURY OF SOVIET COMPLIANCE PRACTICES UNDER ARMS CONTROL COMMITMENTS

1958 - 1983

GENERAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON ARMS CONTROL AND DISARMAMENT

Tille slide from the briefling — based on the highly classified report by the presidential commission — given to, among others, the Senate and House Armed Services committees; the House Intelligence Committee; the Joint Chiefs of Staff; and selected senior CIA officers.

Slides show history of non-compliance

By Albert L. Weeks
NEW YORK TRIBUNE NATIONAL SECURITY EDITOR

Part 1 of a series ©New York Tribune - 1984

The White House has decided, against the advice of the State Department and the ClA, to release a declassified version of a top secret report on Soviet treaty violations so "explosive" that it has been all but suppressed for nearly a vear.

Prepared for President Rengan by 12 highly respected experts, the report documents a quarter-century of Soviet non-compliance affecting the most sensitive security areas of U.S.-Soviet relations. Today, the New York Tribune presents the first nine of 29 stides (see page 6A) from a briefing on the report given to groups of congressmen and senior administration security officials.

The revelations contained in the report — portions of which have been obtained by the Tribune — plus Soviet flouting of SALT II provisions detected by U.S. intelligence during the 10 months since the report was given to Reagan last

November, add up to a devastating indictment of the whole purpose and rationale of arms-control negotiations with the Soviets.

tations with the soviets.

Administration experts believe that once the facts of the Soviet violations are made known to the public, it is possible that such superpower negotiations in the future will be altered profoundly or abandoned altogether.

Early in his administration.

abandoned altogether.
Early in his administration,
Reagan convened the hipartisan
General Advisory Committee on
Arms Control and Disarmament
(GAC) and directed it to study the
history of Soviet compliance—and
non-compliance—with strategic
treaties. He relied on this panel
rather than on the CIA, because the
(see GAC, page 6A) (see GAC, page 6A)

GAC

(From page 1A)

sgency "under [President] Carter was covering up this stuff," a well-informed intelligence source told the Tribune.

Despite demands from Conpeople demands from Congress, most of the violations never ave been made public, nor have the Soviets publicly been con-fronted with them, according to administration sources.

As well, the White House silence about Soviet treaty violations since November is causing tremors within Republican ranks in the Senate and House and among mem-bers of the bipartisan committee that prepared the report.

"The contents of the GAC report are so explosive, Rengan's 'pragmatic' advisers have tried to keep the lid on it," an administration source said. source said.

Both houses of Congress have unanimously passed amendments cmanding that the president clease to Congress the report prepared by his advisory committee.

The amendments have yet to take The amendments have yet to take effect, since they were attached to the two versions of the 1985 Defense Authorizations Bill, now deadlocked in the joint congressional Conference Committee.

One of the key members of GAC One of the key members of GAC soon will publish an article in which he snys that if the United States does not call the Soviets on the violations, the adversary will perceive that our political will is weak. Láck of such a challenge to the Soviets, Dr. Colin Gray will write in the fall issue of Foreign Policy magazine, to appear next month, will damage seriously the credibility of our strategic-nuclear credibility of our strategic-nuclear deterrence vis-a-vis the Soviet

Pressure to reveal

The Reagan administration again will be under strong bipartisan pressure when Congress reconvenes next week to reveal the GAC findings on the violations. A spearhead comprising about a dozen Republican senators and congressmen—including Sens. John East, R-N.C.; Mack Mattingly, R-Ga.; James

McClure, R-Idaho; and Jesse Helms, R-N.C.; and Reps. James Courier, R-N.J.; and Jack Kernp, R-N.Y. — is expected to appeal urgently to the White House to

urgenty to the Mille House to release more information.

_Secretary_o[Defense_Caspar.
Weinberger_reportedly_has told Helms that a declassified version of the top-secret_report will reach! Congress_in_mid-September.

The Senate and House Republicant backing disclauses at the senate and House Republicant backing disclauses at the senate and House Republicant backing disclauses at the senate and thouse the senate and House at the senate

icans backing disclosure say they place, as one source put it, the "day-to-day" security of the United States above partisan politics. Further, this—congressional group believes the best rebuttal to the Deomerats' attacks on lack of progress in arms control is the GAC report itself. The continuing, daily Soviet violations of agreements. Soviet violations of agreements. they say, represent a mounting military threat.

Violations, circumventions

The sensitive GAC findings covering 25 years, together with more recent intelligence input about contemporary Soviet violations and circumventions of treaty obligations heretofore kept secret, show that: • Soviet non-compliance is espe-cially evident in areas of affensive strategic weapons — the kind that could be used for what Soviet mili-tary literature calls the "crushing nuclear first strike."

 Soviet actions represent utter "soviet actions represent utter violation of specifically stated SALT I-II and Anti-Ballistic Mis-sile (ABM) treaty prohibitions. The Soviet violations virtually tear up U.S. Soviet accords on the most crucial points.

 Soviet compliance can be documented only in the area of what GAC calls issues of low-level importance, and in areas where mutual U.S. Soviet interest in reaching an agreement is stronger than the Soviet motive to violate the given obligation (for expense). given obligation (for example, non-proliferation, nuclear-accident avoidance and hotline communica-tions, the Antarctic treaty, environmental modification treaty, and so

• In addition to the GAC report, there are more violations about which Reagan must report to Congress by Sept. 15 under a congressional mandate. These newest violations - some 12 in all - are particularly threatening and unsettling to U.S. security interests

tling to U.S. security interests.

• Violations of the Nuclear Test

• No Violations of the Nuclear Test

• An Agreement date back to the
Khrushchev period of 1963.

• The establishment of clandestine Soviet Arctic basing of
strategie-range Backfire and
Bison long-range bombers poses a
threat to the "Industrial Triangle"
of the continental United States of the continental United States lying just over the North Pole. (Although the latter were men-

tioned in the Pentagon's annual Soviet Military Power booklet issued last March — five red dots showing the northern bases — the size of the violation in Arctic basing abutting North America is more extensive than has been made

public up to now.)

public-up to now.)

• The SALT treaty mandated dismantling of certain strategic-weapons systems — that is, down to 2,250 "strategic delivery vehicles" — has been flouted by the Soviet Union, which actually has built up these assets to more than 2,700 strategic vehicles, while the United States has continued to build down below the stipulated level. below the stipulated level.
In addition, the Tribune has

learned that:

• The Soviets have been jam-

ming telemetric data collected by U.S. satellite electronic intelligence means; such jamming is prohibited by treaty. Such Soviet interference has been employed to conceal Soviet testing in the ABM mode and ICBM testing.

mode and ICBM testing:

Soviet research, development
and deployment in sea-based missiles — cruise and ICBM — have
been particularly active in the
most recent times, and some of
these Soviet activities have violated stated SALT parameters.

Politics of disclosure

Some of the president's inner core of political advisers, particularly James Baker and Michael Deaver, evidently have reparted disclosure as a political liability in an election year. They repurtedly now have acquiesced to National Security Adviser Robert McFarlane's insistence that covering up the report harms U.S. security,

administration sources said.

However, Secretary of State
George Shultz, other State Department officials and the CIA, accord-ing to a White House source, still oppose releasing even the declassi-

: (see GAC, page 16A)

GAC

(From page 6A)

fied version of the GAC report, the sources said.

sources said.
Those advisers close to the president who favor disclosure believe that if the Democrats chose to stir up a controversy over release of the information, it would help rather than hinder Rengan's re-election, for he could demonstrate that what the Republican platform calls the Carter "cover-up" of past Soviet violations now has been rectified. tified.

tified.

The congressmen pressing for release of the GAC report believe the administration must be open and candid with Congress and the public over the large-scale Soviet violations, as 'U.S. security is endangered by Soviet flouting of the treaties. They say it is time to bring what they say is a deplorable situation to the attention of the U.S. public. This would help win support for efforts to redress the strategic-weapons imbalances that

have continued to accrue since the signing of the various agreements.

3 attempts to dislose

Thrice, Congress has passed amendments demanding that the administration disclose report on Soviet treaty violations.

The first was sponsored in the House by Rep. James Courter, R-N.J., and In the Schate by Sens. AcN., and in the Schäfe by Scnis.

James McClure, R. Halbo, and,
Mack Mattingly, R.Ga. This
amendment passed both houses
unanimously in June. It requires
the president to send to Congress
an unclassified version of the GAC
report within 60 days of the enactment of the 1985 Defense Authorization Bill.

The DAR

The DAB currently is deadlocked in the Senate-House Conference Committee, meeting to reconcile differences in the two versions of the bill. The key issues of this stalemate are the level of defense spending to be authorized, and unilateral U.S. arms control constraints on the new MX ICBM.

The stalemate probably will require passage of a "stop-gap" Continuing Resolution, to fund U.S, defense programs in the mean-time

The second amendment was sponsored by McClure alone. It passed the Senate by 82 voice. It requires the president to report to Congress on all remaining Soviet arms control Violations by Sept. 15, 1984.

An earlier amendment, passed 93:0 in the Senate on Sept. 22, 1983, requiring a presidential report to Congress on Soviet arms control violations up to that time, has yetlobe fully complied with.

Members of the GAC

The 12 GAC members appointed by Reagan in 1981 and confirmed by the Senate comprise five Demo-crats, and seven Republicans and independent. They are: independents. They are:

- William R. Graham Ph.D. physicist (chairman).
 - · Colin S. Gray world re-

- knowned strategic analyst.

 Roland F. Herbst defense
- analyst.

 Robert B. Hotz former editor of Aviation Week and Space
- Technology.

 Eli S. Jacobs businessman. Eli S. Jacobs — businessman.
 Charles Burton Marshall —
 foreign policy expert and brother
 of former Secretary of State
 George Marshall.
 Jaimie Oaxaca — business-
- man.

 John P. Roche former
 defense policy adviser to President
 Johnson.

 O Donald Rumsfeld former
 secretary of defense, under President Ford.

 Harriet F. Scott expert on
 Soviet military doctrine.
- Harriet F. Scott expert on Soviet military doctrine.
 Laurence H. Silberman former U.S. ambassador to Yugoslavia and former deputy U.S. attorney general,

Next: How the Violations Damage U.S. Security

6A / FRIDAY, AUG. 31, 1984

NATIONAL SECURITY

New york Tribune

Reproductions of slides used in highly classified briefings

Joint Chiefs of Staff, security-oriented congressmen and CIA officials heard record of 25 years of Soviet treaty violations

Eight of the 29 slides in briefing shown here; others to appear in next installments

UNCLASSIFIED

GENERAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON ARMS CONTROL
AND DISARMAMENT

WILLIAM R. GRAHAM — CHAIRMAN COLIN S. GRAY ROLAND F. HERBST FRANCIS P. HOEBER ROBERT B. HOTZ ELI S. JACOBS CHARLES BURTON MARSHALL JAIMIE OAXACA

JAIMIE OAXACA
JOHN P. ROCHE
DONALD RUMSFELD
HARRIET F. SCOTT
LAURENCE H. SILBERMAN
UNCLASSIFIED

Membership of the General Advisory Committee, appointed by President Reagen in 1981 and confirmed by the U.S. Senate.

UNCLASSIFIED

TASKING OF GENERAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON ARMS CONTROL AND DISARMAMENT

- NOVEMBER 19, 1982 MEETING WITH THE PRESIDENT
- COMMITTEE ASKED TO REVIEW ARMS CONTROL
 - VERIFICATION
 - COMPLIANCE
 - LESSONS FOR FUTURE AGREEMENTS

UNCLASSIFIED

Tasking instructions for the committee.

UNCLASSIFIED

A QUARTER CENTURY OF SOVIET COMPLIANCE PRACTICES UNDER ARMS CONTROL COMMITMENTS

REVIEW OF SOVIET COMMITMENTS AND PRACTICES: 1958 - 1983

- . APPROACH
- II. PRODUCTS
- III. DEFINITIONS AND CRITERIA
- IV. KEY FINDINGS
- V. RECOMMENDATIONS
- VI. FURTHER ISSUES

UNCLASSIFIED

Table of Contents for the GAC Report.

UNCLASSIFIED

- I. APPROACH FOR THE REVIEW
- COMMITTEE PERFORMED AN INDEPENDENT REVIEW
 - ALL SOVIET ARMS CONTROL OBLIGATIONS SINCE WW-II
 - PREVIOUS STUDIES
 - UNITED STATES DOCUMENTATION
 - SOVIET UNION DOCUMENTATION
 - COMMUNITY-WIDE SUPPORT
 - BRIEFINGS BY, A WIDE RANGE OF OFFICIALS
- USE OF OUTSIDE EXPERTS
 - LIMITED CROSS-CHECKING OF INFORMATION

UNCLASSIFIED

Process by which the committee reached its conclusions: They studied all referent U.S. and Soviet documents, had access to all levels of government, were briefed by a wide range of officials and experts inside and outside the government, and cross-checked their information as thoroughly as possible.

UNCLASSIFIED

- II. PRODUCTS OF THE REVIEW
- REPORT: A QUARTER CENTURY OF SOVIET COMPLIANCE PRACTICES UNDER ARMS CONTROL COMMITMENTS:

 1958 1983
- LETTER TO THE PRESIDENT CONTAINING RECOMMENDATIONS
- SUMMARY BRIEFING

UNCLASSIFIED

The three elements of the full GAC review of Soviet Violations are:

1) The report itself in two volumes: Vol. 1 — 275 pages, classified TOP
SECRET, Codoword; and Vol. 2 — 7 pages, classified SECRET. 2) A letter of
recommendations delivered to President Reagan as long ago as Nov. 1983. 3)
A summary briefling, built around these classified and unclassified sildes.

III. DEFINITIONS AND CRITERIA

- MATERIAL BREACHES OF OBLIGATIONS
 - VIOLATION OF AN AGREEMENT OR TREATY
 - BREACH OF A UNILATERAL COMMITMENT
 - CIRCUMVENTION DEFEATING THE OBJECT OR PURPOSE OF A TREATY
- STANDARDS OF CONFIDENCE
 - HIGH CONFIDENCE PROBABLE TO CERTAIN
 - → REASON FOR SUSPICION LESSER CONFIDENCE IN FACTS, LAW, OR BOTH

Definitions used to establish a Soviot treaty violation, breach or circumvention. Two criteria were used to separate violations from suspicions of violations, if the evidence was probable or certain, the event was classed as a violation.

SOVIET BREACHES OF BINDING ARMS CONTROL OBLIGATIONS HIGH CONFIDENCE IN RELIABILITY OF DATA INTERPRETATION

NON-SALT MATTERS

SOVIET OBLIGATION	TYPE OF BREACH	SOVIET ACTION	DATES
NUCLEAR TEST MORATORIUM .	BREACH OF UNILATERAL COMMITMENT		1961 - 1962
OFFENSIVE WEAPONS IN CURA	BREACH OF UNILATERAL COMMITMENT		1962
LIMITED TEST DAN TREATY OF 1983	VIOLATIONS	EXTRA-TENRITORIAL VENTING	1995 - PRESENT
OFFENSIVE WEAPONS	BREACH OF UNILATERAL COMMITMENT	DEPLOYING NUCLEAR MISSILE TYPE SUBMARINES IN CUBAN TERRITORIAL WATERS	1970 - 1974
BIOLOGICAL WEAPONS CONVENTION OF 1972	VIOLATIONS	PACILITIES EXPANSION, BIOLOGICAL MUNITIONS PRODUCTION, STORAGE, TRANSFER AND USE	1972 - PRESENT
GENEVA PROTOCOL OF 1925	CIRCUMVENTIONS DEFEATING OBJECT OR PURPOSE	TRANSFER FOR FIRST USE OF CHEMICAL WEAPONS AGAINST NON-PARTIES	1980 - 1982
MONTREUX CONVENTION OF 1938	VIOLATIONS	TRANSIT OF TURKISH STRAITS BY AIRCRAFT CARRIERS	1976 - PRESENT
HELSINKI FINAL ACT	VIOLATIONS	FAILURE TO NOTIFY BEFORE MILITARY EXERCISES	1981 SEPT - 1983 JUNE
CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS CONVENTION OF 1981	VIOLATIONS OF CUSTOMARY INTERNATIONAL LAW	USE OF BOORY-TRAP MINES AND INCENDIARY DEVICES AGAINST CIVILIANS IN AFGHANISTAN	1981 - 1982
BREZHNEV'S SS-20 MORATORIUM	BREACH OF UNILATERAL	COMPLETION OF LAUNCHER POSITIONS	1982 MARCH - 1983 DEC

These are the two most important charts (above and left) in the series of sildes. They enumerate and describe a total of 17 Soviet arms control treaty violations, including violations of the SALT treaties. These criteria were established by GAC for presenting its conclusions, and they are highly respected. The criteria express the GAC interpretation of the intelligence data and international law in each of the 17 cases of Soviet arms control treaty violations.

MATERIAL BREACHES OF SOVIET ARMS CONTROL COMMITMENTS SALT MATTERS

SOVIET OBLIGATION	TYPE OF BREACH	SOVIET ACTION	DATES
INTERIM SALT AGREEMENT OF 1972	CIRCUMVENTION DEFEATING OBJECT OR PURPOSE	DEPLOYMENT OF "MEDIUM ICBM	1972 - PRESENT
INTERIM SALT AGREEMENT, ABM TREATY AND SALT II TREATY	VIOLATIONS	DELIBERATE CONCEALMENT ACTIVITIES IMPENDING VERIFICATION	1972 - PRESENT
ABM TREATY	VIOLATION	DEVELOPMENT AND DEPLOYMENT OF NON-FERMANENTLY FIXED ARM NADAR CONTANTY TO ARTICLE V (1) (COMMON UNDERSTANDING C)	1975-
SALT I PROTOCOL	VIOLATIONS	DEPLOYMENT OF MODERN SURMARINES EXCEEDING LIMIT OF 740 BALLISTIC MISSILE SURMARINE LAUNCHERS WITHOUT DISMANTLING OTHER ICOM OR SLOM LAUNCHERS	1978 - 197
SALT II TREATY	PROBABLE VIOLATION	PRODABLE CONTINUED "DEPLOYMENT" OF \$3-18 MOBILE ICOM: AND LAUNCHERS AT PLESETSK	1979 - PRESENT
SALT II TREATY	PROBABLE VIOLATION	TESTING OF SS-X-25, A SECOND NEW ICBM, CONTRARY TO ARTICLE IV (9)	1983
ABM TREATY	VIOLATION	CONSTRUCTION OF LARGE NON-PERIPHERAL RADAR	1981 - PRESENT

Weather for today Cloudy, storms likely, high near 90, Partly cloudy tonight, low 55.







Bise of a New Err

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 4, 1984

NEW YORK, NEW YORK

«CONVIGH 1984 NEWS WORLD COMMUNICATIONS 20 CENTS

Study of Soviet treaty violations reveals dangers for U.S. security

MBFR:

The New York Tribune has gained access to the most comprehensive report in 2 decades on Soviet arms pdct violations. So potentially damaging are its contents to the proponents of detente and compromise on the side of the West, that the U.S. government thus far has refused to fully disclose the report.

By Albert L. Weeks

Part 2 of a series

New York Tribune — 1984

In 1969, the Soviet Union estab-lished the Department for Strate-gic Deception (DSC) in the Kremlin, led by then-Marshal Nikolai Ogarkov, who now is chief of the general staff. In the 14 years since the depart-ment was started, it systematically has subverted the arms control.

(see GAC, page 7A)

KEY FINDING #2

SOVIETS USE DELIBERATE DECEPTION IN NEGOTIATIONS

SALT I: REPLACEMENT OF LIGHT SS-11 WITH "LIGHT" SS-19 ICBM

ENCOURAGEMENT OF U.S. UNILATERAL STATEMENT

LATER REJECTED (STRETCH YANKEE SUBMARINE)

SOVIETS HAVE CONSISTENTLY UNDERREPRESENTED WARSAW PACT TROOP STRENGTH BY OVER 200,000 MEN

SALT II: | BACKFIRE BOMBER INTERCONTINENTAL

CAPABILITY DENIED

One of nine slides, fully explained on page 7A, from a classified briefing on the top secret report to the president about 25 years of Soviet compliance — and non-compliance — with arms control agreements.

$G\mathbf{AC}$

(From page 1A)

treaties between the United States and the Soviet Union, including the tirst and second Strategic Arms Limitation Treatics (SALT).

Limitation Treaties (SALT).

The highly classified report forPresident Reagan authored by his
General Advisory Committee on
runs Control and Disarmament
(GA Cocuments 17 material vio-GAA cocuments 17 material vio-bations of arms control agreements by the Soviets. It also lists 10 more "suspicions" of material breaches, The report has been suppressed quietly, at least since November 1933, by members of Reagan's inner core of advisers, who appar-ently fear that disclosure would endanger what remains of "detente."

"detente."

The GAC report, portions of which have been obtained by the New York Tribune, has been the subject of intense interest by administration defense analysis and government consultants, one of whom called the findings "[0]. a defenses.

Slides from the classified

briefing on the report are reproduced on page 7A.

Last week, a White House cource said that National Security Adviser Robert McFarlane had wan out over Reagan aides Michael Deaver and James Baker, with Secretary of State George Shultz and white Classifications. ranking CIA officers still arguing a ninst disclosure, when Reagan inally decided to release a version of the report to Congress later this

the White House does release a :anitized version of the GAC findings, Congress may finally stop

clamoring for disclosure. Three

clamoring for disclosure. Three separate amendaments ordering the White House to release the report; have been passed, two of them unanimously.

A carefully studied case in point raised by the GAC involves (largrant violations of the SALT Interim Agreement and the 1972 Anti-Ballistic Missile (ABM) Trenty. In the former, following the signing of the Interim Agreement, the Soviets illegally deployed the SS-19 "heavy" Intercontinental Ballistic Missiles (ICBMs) they were building as the talks on the agreement continued. Secondly, by building a nationwide network of ABM management radar systems, the Kremlin has openly violated the 1972 treaty.

the 1972 treaty.

The supporters of disclosure within the White House and Congress believe Reagan would benefit olitically in two ways by revealing the Soviet violations. First, disclosure dramatizes the fact that the Soviet Union has stalked out of arms-reduction talks three times in the last year. Second, the extent and nature of the violations are such that the disclosure of the GAC report and later post-GAC intelligence information about additional breaches of agreements would only demonstrate that the United States will have to take addi-United States will have to take addi-tional measures to guard its own security. This implies further defense expenditures, perhaps on a larger scale than was anticipated originally.

Threaten U.S. security

The GAC report states that Soviet treaty circumventions:

• Threaten U.S. capability to defend the United States against a nuclear first strike launched from

the Soviet Union.

 Allow a one-sided Soviet superiority along a number of military parameters, including both conventional and nuclear arms, and all three legs of the strategic U.S. "triad" — manned bombers, ground-based missiles, sea-based missiles.

• Place the United States in a bind of unilateral compliance with U.S.-Soviet agreements, while the Soviets are left free to take advantage of U.S. compliance by tearing up the most sensitive as well as clastic parts of at least 2 dozen itrol agreements reached nce 1957.

Supplement Soviet

 Supplement Soviet peace propaganda efforts, tailored to the above Soviet arms violations, to attempt to embarrass the United States with charges of "instigating the arms race," while, in fact, this country merely seeks to redress the growing imbalance resulting

from Soviet violations.
Soviet propaganda often is echoed in the U.S. print and broadcast oed in the U.S. Frint and in oddesst media — for example, when a net-work news Pentagon correspon-dent gives viewers the impression that it is the United States that makes the weapons innovations that are later only "copied" by the

Soviets, GAC findings, and the timing of introduction of numerous Soviet weapons, prove that this perception of U.S. arms innovation is

 Violate both SALT treaties by the deployment of a brand new multi-warhead strategic missile, the SS-26 (larger than our proposed MX). Because of the power and accuracy of the SS-26, it represents a threat to our retaliatory landsed missile force of Minuteman IIIs.

 Have allowed the Soviets to construct the intercontinental Backfire bomber, a plane they insist cannot fly beyond their bor-

Jam verification signals

By jamming signals for verifying treaty compliance (e.g., the ABM treaty of 1972), the Soviets may have succeeded partly in con-cealing further breaches of the ABM Treaty, breaches that informed sources say are under study at this moment by U.S. intel-

ligence.

Despite Soviet interference with telemetry for checking compliance, the United States has discovered that the other side has developed a large supersonic

submarine-launched cruise mis-

submarine-launched cruise missile, which has been stealthily—and illegally—adapted to the hulls of a class of permitted Y-Class Soviet submarine.

Another discovery is the Soviet capability to reload at concealed ABM sites, which constitutes n' double violation, since only one ABM site per side is permitted. Taken together, reloading of the one and building of illegal additional sites constitute a blatant vio tional sites constitute a blatant violation.

These revelations of violations and a statement by Soviet Foreign Minister Andrei Gromyko that neither the United States nor ther the United States nor the Soviet Union has any "strict obligations to act in accordance with the [SALT] II Treaty," imply that the Kremlin may have had no intention of making even a show of abiding by SALT II. Neither the Soviet Union nor the United States ratified the SALT II treaty.

'We can, but you can't'

Instead, they threw down a cauntlet to the United States as if to say: "See, we're going ahead with our weapons programs and intend to gain superiority over you. But what can you do about it? Your legislators, your public will not stand for the large increases in defense expenditures necessary to

keep up with us.
"Meanwhile, the world will see,

by your revelations of our viola-tions, that we are the wave of the future, the mightier of the two superpowers, as the United States gins to occupy second place."
Characteristically, Ogarkov told

a group of U.S. congressmen visit-ing the Soviet Union 5 years ago:

ing the Soviet Union 5 years also "We have superiority over you. You had better get used to it."

Ogarkov's threat is hammered home by the GAC report, which said that not only does the United States lack the long- or short-range strategy for deterring Soviet treaty intelligence to the property flow ways.

violations, there are very few ways to counteract the violations. Saying that quiet diplomacy via "special" channels has not inspired Soviet Union to abide by its the Soviet Union to abide by its treaties, the report sugnests that more formal government moves in the past have had some effect. Specifically, U.S. forthright action during the Cuban missile crisis, and reports supplied to the U.S. media about 50% tu use of chemical weapons in Southeast Asia, "yellow ons in Southeast Asia, "yellow rain," resolved both situations, at

least temporarily.

A major concern raised by the GAC is that the violations listed may only represent the "tip of the iceberg," because other treaty violations probably have been neces-sary in order to carry out the known violations.

Next: Are U.S.-Soviet arms control agreements feasible?

For information concerning home delivery or newsstand locations where the New York Tribune is sold, calli 576-0350 or 576-0351 New York Tribune

And Hork Tribune

NATIONAL SECURITY

TUESDAY, SEPT. 4, 1984 / 7A

Reproductions of slides used in secret briefings

Joint Chiefs of Staff, security-oriented congressmen and CIA ficials heard record of 25 years of Soviet treaty violations

Nine of 29 slides in the briefing are shown here; nine more will be published tomorrow

KEY FINDING #1

RECURRING PATTERN OF SOVIET VIOLATIONS SINCE 1972

- . REVIEW INCLUDES EVENTS OF 1958 1983
- . 25 ARMS CONTROL TREATIES OF THE USSR
- -12 APPEAR NOT TO RAISE COMPLIANCE CONCERNS
- 9 INVOLVE "MATERIAL BREACHES"
- . 4 MATERIAL BREACHES OF ORAL COMMITMENTS
- . OF THE 17 "MATERIAL BREACHES":
 - -7 INVOLVE SALT, 10 INVOLVE NON-SALT COMMITMENTS
 - 13 BEGAN IN OR AFTER-1972 (SALT ERA)
- . 10 FURTHER SUSPICIONS OF "MATERIAL BREACH" CONSIDERED
- . UNABLE TO RESOLVE
- -SEVERAL COULD HAVE MAJOR MILITARY SIGNIFICANCE

KEY FINDING #2

SOVIETS USE DELIBERATE DECEPTION IN NEGOTIATIONS

REPLACEMENT OF LIGHT SS-11 WITH "LIGHT" SS-19 ICBM

ENCOURAGEMENT OF U.S. UNILATERAL

STATEMENT

· LATER REJECTED (STRETCH YANKEE SUBMARINE)

SOVIETS HAVE CONSISTENTLY UNDERREPRESENTED WARSAW PACT TROOP STRENGTH BY OVER 200,000 MEN

SALT II: BACKFIRE BOMBER INTERCONTINENTAL

CAPABILITY DENIED

KEY FINDING #3

SOVIETS SIGN AND RATIFY ARMS CONTROL TREATIES THEY ARE PLANNING TO VIOLATE

- BIOLOGICAL WEAPONS CONVENTION (RATIFIED)
 - SOVIETS EXPANDED BW FACILITIES - 1972 - 1975
- SALT II (SIGNED):
 - SOVIETS FALSIFIED SALT II DATA BASE - SS-16s AT PLESETSK

This chart lists four examples of Soviet deceptions in arms control negotiations. In the first case, the Soviets told the U.S. that they did not intend to replace light ICBMs with heavy ICBMs. This was prohibited by the SALT I Interim Agreement (Article II). Yet, they replaced their light SS-11 ICBMs with their new SS-19 ICBMs, which they know to be a "heavy" ICBM.

Secondly, they accepted a U.S. unitateral statement that old missile submarines

Secondly, may accepted a 0.3, unilateral statement that our missian sound not to be converted to non-missile uses could not be made longer, or could not have missile tubes slicking out of their hulls. The Soviets then went on to violate this U.S. unilateral statement — their apparent acceptance of which seemed to bind them - in both ways.

seemed to und utem— in both ways.

Third, the Soviets have continuously lied about their troop strength in the Mutual Balanced Force Reduction negotiations since 1973.

Fourth, the Soviet leaders denied that their Backfire bomber had intercontinental capability, when in fact it does have such capability.

Other examples of the Soviets signing an arms control agreement they were planning to violate are the SALT I Interim Agreement, by deploying lifegally their SS-19 heavy ICBM, and the SALT I ABM Treaty, by deploying an illegal nationwide network of ABM management radars.

This chart establishes two important new facts: The GAC found 17 material Soviet arms control breaches; and the GAC found 10 suspicior breaches — some having possible major military significance.

KEY FINDING #4

SOVIET CONCEALMENT AND DECEPTION INCREASING

- IMPEDES VERIFICATION
 - ENCRYPTION
 - CONCEALMENT

- DECEPTION
- CENTRALLY MANAGED SOVIET PROGRAM

This chart says the Soviet concealment and deception program violates SALT I and II, because it constitutes deliberate interference with U.S. National Technical of SALT Verification — Interference prohibited by SALT I and II.

KEY FINDING #5

PRIVATE DIPLOMACY INSUFFICIENT TO RESTORE COMPLIANCE

- STANDING CONSULTATIVE COMMISSION
- HIGH LEVEL DÉMARCHES
- . BUT SOME U.S. ACTIONS HAVE BEEN EFFECTIVE
 - MILITARY MEASURES
 - PUBLIC INFORMATION - DIPLOMATIC PROTESTS

 - PUBLIC INFORMATION - U.N. INVESTIGATION
 - INVOLVEMENT OF ALLIES AND NEUTRAL COUNTRIES

STRIKING REDUCTION OR HALT IN USE OF LETHAL CHEMICAL WEAPONS \.

Although private diplomacy has failed to force Soviet compliance with treaties, government action via the military, the foreign service and public information campaigns resolved the Cuban missile crisis and convinced the Soviets to restrict their use of chemical warfare, such as with "yellow rain" in Asia.

KEY FINDING #6

NO U.S. LONG-RANGE STRATEGY TO DETER SOVIET VIOLATIONS

- U.S. VERIFICATION CAPABILITY NECESSARY BUT NOT SUFFICIENT
- U.S. OPTIONS OFTEN PLANNED "AFTER THE FACT"
 - . U.S. LEFT WITH FEW OPTIONS

The U.S. has no long or short range strategy to deter Soviet violations and very few options to counteract them.

FURTHER SIGNIFICANCE OF RECENT SOVIET BREACHES

- THE SOVIET RICACHES SINCE SALT II APPEAR TO HAVE SEVERAL PECULIAN PROPERTIES:
 - . INITIATED AT ABOUT THE TIME OF SALT II AGREEMENT
 - DONE IN A FASHION WHICH SHOULD HAVE AT LEAST CAUSED U.S. BUSFICION
 - SOME OF THE APPARENT ADVANTAGES GAINED BY THE RECENT BREACHES COULD HAVE BEEN OBTAINED BY "LEGAL" MEANS
- WI THE OPPHON OF THE COMMITTEE, A REASONABLE INTERPRETATION OF SOME OF THE BOYIET BREACHES, INCLUDING PART OF THEIR CONCEALMENT AND DECEPTION PROGRAM, IS THAT THEY ARE MEASURES TO TEST
 - . U.S. INTELLIGENCE CAPABILITIES
 - .U.S. POLITICAL PROCESSES RELATIVE TO ARMS CONTROL
- THESE BREACHES AND THE EXPANDING SOVIET NATIONAL CONCEAMENT AND DECEPTION PROGRAM MAY HAVE BEEN A PREFARATION OR A COVER FOR MORE EXTENSIVE VIOLATIONS TAKING PLACE NOW OR TO TAKE PLACE IN THE FUTURE

The most eignificant point on this chart is that the 17 Soviet violations described in the GAC report are the known, visible "tip of the Iceberg," beneath which there may be a whole series of unknown or even more serious violations.

SOVIET CONCEALMENT AND DECEPTION PROGRAM

- ESTABLISHMENT OF KGB DISINFORMATION DEPARTMENT, 1959
- ESTABLISHMENT OF MINISTRY OF DEFENSE DEPARTMENT, 1970 FOR
 - MARSHALL ITHEN GENERALL N.V. OGARKOV
 - LATER RECAME SENIOR MILITARY MEMBER OF SALT 1
 - NOW CHIEF OF THE GENERAL STAFF
- · LARGE INCREASE IN PROGRAM SINCE SALT I
- PROGRAM INCLUDES BOTH WEARON SYSTEMS CONSTRAINED BY ARMS CONTROL AND THOSE NOT CONSTRAINED
 - CONCEALMENT OF WEAPONS AND FACILITIES - DECEPTIVE CONSTRUCTION AND DECOYS
 - INFORMATION SECURITY (ENCRYPTION, TELEMETRY SUPPRESSION, ETC.)

- MAY ALSO INCLUDE DUAL PURPOSE COVERS: SUBMARINE TUNNELS FOR BOTH HARDNESS AND CONCEALMENT

This chart describes the Soviet Union's centrally managed program of concealment and deception. It omits the fact that in 1970 the Kremiln's Ministry of Defense established a Department for Strategic Deception (dot with blank space after it) headed by Soviet Marshall N.V. Ogarkov.

COVER AND DECEPTION QUESTIONS

- THE SOVIETS HAVE USED CONCEALMENT AND DECEPTION IN CONNECTION WITH BREACHES OF SALT AGREEMENTS
 - EXAMPLES: MAINTENANCE OF SS-16 AND TESTING OF SS-X-25
- ARE THEY PLANNING TO COVER MORE EXTENSIVE VIOLATIONS?
- ARE THEY PRESENTLY CONDUCTING MORE EXTENSIVE VIOLATIONS?

Further examples of Soviet concealment in connection with breaches of SALT agreements. Examples not listed include: Construction of submarine tunnels; SS-20 concealment; SS-X-24 testing.

Weather Cloudy, breezy and cool. High in 60s. Tonight: clear, quite cool. Low in 50s.

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 5, 1984



Now Ern

NEW YORK, NEW YORK

eConyright 1984 NEWS WORLD COMMUNICATIONS 20 CENTS 401 FIFTH AVE. NEW YORK, NY 10018 (212) 832-8300

Arms talks - what are the risks for the U.S.? Study shows Soviet record of treaty violations

The New York Tribune has a gained access to the most compained access to the most comprehensive report in 2 decades on Soviet arms pact, violations, Sopotentially domagning is its content to the proponents of detente and compromise in the West that the U.S. government thus far has refused to fully disclose the findings. This series is based on the report; the slides published on page 4A are from a secret briefing on its contents.

By Albert L. Weeks
NEW YORK TRIBUNE NATIONAL SECURITY EDITOR

Part 3 of a 3-part series 6 Given the breadth of Soviet vio-lations of arms agreements with

NEWS ANALYSIS

the United States over the past 25 years, does it make sense to negotiate with the Soviets on security (see GAC, page 4A)

Reagan aide: U.S. has no policy on violations

WASHINGTON, Scpt. 4 — A Reagan arms adviser has accused the administration of having no policy to deal with Soviet arms treaty violations and says Washington should scrap existing agreements unless Moscow's

behavior changes.

Colin Gray, a leading weapons expert and a member of Reagan's General Advisory Committee on Arms Control, wrote in the upcoming issue of Foreign Policy that the United States has unambiguous evidence of Soviet cheating.

"No one who saw the complete

technical evidence amassed could doubt that the violations are so significant as to call into question the very notion that the superpow ers retain enough common interests in arms control to warrant continuing negotiations," Gray

But he said, having publicly accused Moscow of cheating, the administration "seems to have exhausted its level of courage and

Reagan last January sent Con-gress a report accusing the Soviet Union of seven violations or probable violations of the 1972 Anti-Ballistic Missile (ABM) treaty, the 1979 Strategic Arms Limitation Treaty (SALT II) and other agreements.

An unclassified version of the

report was made public.

But Gray, who heads the private
National Institute for Public Policy, said the administration, like its predecessors, "has no policy on

what to do about Soviet treaty vio-lations."

Saying this was due in part to

Saying this was due in part to "deep splits" between arms control supporters and hard-liners, he said the administration should tell the American people "that Soviet misbehavior has cast doubt on the wisdom of persisting in arms control."

"At the very least, the United States should be prepared to declare that if Soviet behavior does

not change, Washington will no longer abide by the restrictions of SALT II and the ABM treaty," he

He said the advisory panel of which he is a member has prepared an even stronger case for Soviet violations than Reagan's report last

Gray said the committee's study

Gray said the committee's study has not been sent to Congress and administration officials remain reluctant to elaborate on the violations listed in the January report. As a result, he said, Moscow sees no reason to comply with the 1972 and 1979 agreements and it "continues to modernize its forces across the board in disregard of beth next." both pacts.

Gray said the United States "has no business negotiating new arms control agreements unless it has a responsible policy to deal with Soviet cheating on existing agreements."

"To cave in meekly to persisting Soviet violations for fear of accel erating the arms race would consti-tute a policy of appeasement."

GAC

(From page 1A)

matters? Indeed, is it safe for the matters' Indeed, is it sail for the United States to do so, given what one defense analyst calls the "dangerous" disndvantage into which the United States has fallen via wholesale Soviet circumventions of the ABM Treaty, SALT I and II, nuclear testing and conventional-arms treaties going back to 1958?

While it may be true that the United States is in the process of catching up with the Soviets — after years of putting faith in such treatics and Soviet willingness to scale down the arms race — there is no guarantee that the Soviets will desist in their ongoing program to stay ahead. Moreover, 10 possible arms-pact violations by the Soviets so far this year are now under study by U.S. intelligence. That's 10 more than the 17 cited in the classified report to President Reagan of November 1983 from his General Advisory Committee on Arms Control and Disarmament (GAC).

During the 1960s, Nikita Khrushchev hinted at a pattern of violations planned by the Soviets more than a decade prior to detente and the ABM and SALT 1 and II agreements. Violations of a variety of other treaties relating to nuclear testing and dealywing offensive of other treating and deploying offensive testing and deploying offensive missiles in Cuba also date back to the Khrushchev years (1959-64). Taken together, they suggest that the Kremlin's decision to use deception against security agreements with the United States is longstanding.
In two major speeches delivered

by Khrushchey in 1960 and 1961 by Naturalization in 1900 and 1901,
the Soviet leader explicitly. Meanwhile, under Marshal revealed that the Soviet Union Nikolal Ogarkov—later to become would in the future conceal extra Leonid Brezhnev's chief of the

missiles and warheads, "dispersing and camouflaging them well."
Khrushchev told the Supreme Soviet on Jan. 14, 1960: "We are Soviet on Jan. 14, 1960: "We are developing such a system [of backup missiles] so that if some means of retaliation [on the Soviet side] were knocked out, we could always fall back on others and strike the enemy from reserve installations."

The Penkovsky Papers, revealed to the West by military intelligence officer Col. Oleg Penkovsky at the same time as the Khrushchev speech, showed that the Soviets intended to establish the means to shoot down incoming U.S. missiles. The Soviet Union, indeed, deployed The Soviet Union, indeed, deployed the first such ABM system, the "Galosh," before the United States deployed the "Safeguard" ABM, which turned out to be superior to the Soviet system. Once Safeguard was operational, Moscow suddenly suggested abandoning the ABM concept in favor of the U.S.-proposed "MAD" (Mutual Assured Destruction) strategy providing nearly total dismantlement of all ABM sites, actual or intended. Conceived by Kennedy-Johnson

administration non-military-specialist advisers, clustered around Defense Secretary Robert McNamara, MAD was predicated on the assumption that the Soviets would uphold whatever treaties they might sign. While the United Stated dismantled all ABM sites, the Soviets, relying on professional-military advice. professional-military advice, stepped up development of their own ABM, while retaining the option — declined by the United States — of maintaining a functioning ABM site near Moscow.

General Staff after earlier having General Staff after earlier naving been promoted by Brezhnev to Moscow Center to head up the Chief Directorate for Strategic Deception in 1968-69 - the Soviet Union embarked on the ruse of signing the 1972 ABM Treaty and SAIT I-II. By these agreements, concluded in various installments during the administrations of Presidents Nixon, Ford and Carter, the Soviets sought to gain significant advantages in strategic arms, offensive and defensive (ABM).

Concerted violations

The contents of the GAC report, and intelligence information collated since November 1983 and since the president's own partial revelations in January, show a shocking picture of concerted Soviet violations. The circumventions, according to informed defense analysts, significantly threaten U.S. security at the pre-

Congress has passed three amendments instructing the president to report to Congress on Soviet treaty violations, but none have yet to take effect. Last week, the White House reportedly settled on a mid-September date for a partial disclosure to Congress.

As long as the full extent of the

violations is kept from public view, many congressmen and the public at large may continue to press for action on the arms control nego-tiations, which the Soviets have walked out on three times in the past year alone.

Public opinion polls steadily indicate that this is what the public wants. However, were the facts in the GAC report alone known to the public, opinion might veer away from trusting the Soviets in living up to a whole range of new arms agreements, conventional and nuclear, since their record is one of deception, according to the GAC.

Points to consider

- As a bare minimum, some defense analysts suggest, the two superpowers might agree solely to work on agreements that pertain
- Defusing potentially dangerous situations that could escalate into full-scale nuclear war i.e., broadening that part of the SALT I Treaty pertaining to each side keeping the other informed of its policy in crisis situations affecting third parties, while showing a readiness to take measures to defuse those crises pregnant with the dan-

ger of nuclear war.

• Improving such emergency communications links as the Hot Line, which actually was upgraded

this spring.

• Compliance in the area of unimpaired telemetry (heretofore U.S. telemetry has been impaired by Soviet januning, encryption, etc.) so that a viable check on Soviet testing can be maintained. In the past, the Soviets have stopped such blocking of U.S. monitoring systems, when confronted with proof, only to begin new ones

(see GAC, page 14A)

14A / WEDNESDAY, SEPT. 5, 1984

GAC

(From page 4A)

when the opportunity to test new, prohibited equipment presented itself.

• "Very extensive and nationally controlled" Soviet concealment and deception (see accompanying GAC briefing chart). This deception must be publicly raised with the Soviets; if the violations continue, the American public must be informed and countermeasures taken to overcome any Soviet advantage accruing from the discovered violation.

the discovered violation.

The United States, the GAC report recommends, must deny the Soviets the "benefit of the doubt." Washington will have to assume, as Khrushchev himself stated, that the Soviets will build — if they haven't already — a number of fallback (or "redundant") systems; that they will go ahead with ABM, despite the 1972 treaty; and, that they will complete an already underway "High Frontier," or "Star Wars" defense system.

The U.S. public will have to face the likelihood that truly convincing verification has definite limitations, that missiles (as long as a Pullman car) and warheads (as tall as a man) can be concealed quite easily, even from on-site inspector—a process which the Soviets, in any event, refuse to allow—and that high-flying photo-recon satellites, for all their photographic sharpness, cannot penetrate shrouds and roofs of a size sufficient to cover missiles and warheads.

Soviets seek superiority

The American public will have to be informed that the Soviet Union, as it has consistently maintained in its own past and current military literature, actively seeks superiority, not "parity" as it has alleged since the days of detente, over the United States across the whole range of conventional and

nuclear military forces.

Significantly, in recent weeks, as if to advertise the advantage of such pursuit of superiority, the Soviets have resorted to publicizing their military-testing accomplishments. SALT weapons counts—the tallies of the two superpowers' nuclear arsenals in 1972 and 1979—are bound to show mounting Soviet military strength. This, too, plays into Soviet hands.

Such advertisements of military power were used in the past by Hitler to intimidate all potential interferers with the Nazis' pursuit of world domination. Soviet propaganda aims to show the world that the United States is not the No. 1 military power any longer. Were this perception to sink in, with regard both to world public opinion and among Americans, there is no telling what intimidatory "psychwar" effect this perception might have on the United States, its allies, and what remains of the truly "nonaligned" world.

Case for disclosure

Bearing all this in mind, the case for full disclosure to the U.S. public of the litany of Soviet violations of arms control agreements is strong for the following reasons:

- The necessity for U.S. development and deployment of defensive weapons systems becomes documented for public (and congressional) consumption and support.
- By confronting the Soviets on their record, the United States demonstrates to the potential enemy that U.S. determination to meet the Soviet challenge is strong and unflagging.
- and unflagging.

 President Reagan, whether campaigning for re-election or beginning his second term, would be strengthened in his bipartisan effort to build a national consensus around the need to effectively confront and counter the Soviet military threat an effort that a fullbreasted airing of the violations buttresses.

4A / WEDNESDAY, SEPT. 5, 1984

NATIONAL SECURITY

New Hork Tribune

Reproductions of slides used in classified briefings: Part IIII Joint Chiefs of Staff, security- oriented congressmen and CIA The last 9 of the 29 slides used to illustrate officials heard record of 25 years of Soviet treaty violations

briefings on the GAC report are shown here

EXAMPLE OF VIOLATIONS WITH POSSIBLE BROAD MILITARY SIGNIFICANCE SOVIET <u>OFFENSIVE</u> FORCES

- SOVIETA APPEAR TO HAVE REQUIREMENT FOR LARGE SECURE
- . U.R. MISSILE ACCURACY IMPROVEMENTS WILL EVENTUALLY THREATEN
- THREE POSSIBLE SOVIET SOLUTIONS MAY INVOLVE VIOLATIONS:
 - TWO PROPAGLE VIOLATIONS CONNECTED WITH MOBILES (SS-18
 - . LARGE CONCEALMENT AND DECEPTION PROGRAM CONNECTED
 - SOVIETS MAY INTEND TO CONCEAL MOBILE ICEM IN 85-20 FORCE
 - EXTRA STORED MISSILE ACTIVATED WHEN NEEDED
 - SEVERAL SUSPICIOUS EVENTS
 EXTRA SLEM FONCE LEVELS
 - . LARGE CONCEALMENT AND DECEPTION PROGRAM . SOVIET CONCEALMENT AND DECEPTION PROGRAM REDUCING U.S. ABILITY TO ASSESS THAT STRATEGIC STRENGTH

SOVIET DEFENSE FORCES

- LARGE SOVIET AIR DEFENSE SYSTEM BEING MAINTAINED, IMPROVED
- NEEDS ANTI-BALLISTIC MISSILE COMPLEMENT TO BE EFFECTIVE.
- SOVIET ARMS CONTROL VIOLATIONS AND SUSPICIOUS ACTIONS INCLUDE: - EXPANSION AND IMPROVEMENT OF LARGE FIXED RADAR NETWORK WITH
 - ONE RADAR THAT VIOLATES ABM TREATY
 RAPID RELOCATION OF A "FLAT TWIN" ABM RADAR

 - ALSO IN VIOLATION OF ABM TREATY
 - USE OF AIR DEFENSE SYSTEM COMPONENTS AT ABM TEST HANGE

 A SUSPICIOUS ACTIVITY PERIODICALLY OCCURRING SINCE 1973
 - · A POSSIBLE VIOLATION OF ABM TREATY
- SOVIET ACTIONS ARE CONSISTENT WITH:
 - AN ABM "BREAKOUT" CAPABILITY
 - COVERT USE OF AIR DEFENSE SYSTEMS TO ENHANCE ABM CAPABILITY
- U.S. BALLISTIC MISSILE REQUIREMENTS SENSITIVE TO SOVIET ABM CAPABILITY the state of the s

Examples of violations with possible military significance involving both Soviet offensive and defensive forces.

POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF SOVIET BW/CW PROGRAM

- SOVIETS HAVE APPARENTLY DEVELOPED A WIDE SPECTRUM OF CW AND
- "TAILORED" USE
 - SOME FOR DIRECT ATTACK ON PERSONNEL
 - SOME FOR EXTENDED AREA DENIAL
- GOVERNMENT OF CHINA VIEW SOVIET CAPABILITY AS SUB-NUCLEAR OPTION AGAINST CPR
- WEST HAS INADEQUATE CAPABILITY TO RESPOND IN KIND
- SMALLER NATIONS BECOMING INTERESTED IN OBTAINING CW CAPABILITY - IRAG MAY BE ONLY ONE EXAMPLE .
- SOVIET PROGRAM NOW SEEMS TO BE PURSUING GENETIC ENGINEERING FOR NEW AGENTS
- ... NEW, UNIQUE AGENTS POSSIBLE
- WEST MAY REMAIN IGNORANT OF THEIR PROPERTIES
 POTENTIAL SERIOUS CONSEQUENCES

This chart describes the dangers of the Soviet Biological Warfare (BW) and Chemical Warfare (CW) program. The Chinese People's Republic (CPR) is especially concerned that the Soviet Union might opt for CWBW Warfare against it, rather than risk nuclear warfare.

STANDARDS OF PROOF

- . BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT
- UMARALISTIC CRITERIA FOR ARMS CONTROL
 UNLIKELY TO BE POSSIBLE IN MOST CASES
 NO POLICE OR JUDICIAL AUTHORITY
- . SUSPECTED PARTY CONTROLS THE EVIDENCE -BHOULD SUPPLY DATA TO SHOW INNOCENCE
- O U.S. ATTEMPTED TO OBTAIN BUCH DATA IN RS-X-25, ES-16 AND TTR CASES --FAILED
- SOVIETS USED CONCEALMENT IN SS-X-28 AND 59-16
 65-X-28 ENCRYPTION
 - SS-N-28 ENCRYPTION
 SS-18 EQUIPMENT UNDER ROOFS WHEN U.S. INTELLIGENCE PLATFORMS NEAR
 SNOW TRACKS SHOW ADTIVITY AT OTHER TIMES
- . IF BOVIETS USE CONCEALMENT
- SHOULD DENY THEM RENEFIT OF THE DOUBT
- SOVIET CONCEALMENT AND DECEPTION INCREASING THROUGH SALT PROCESS -- NOW VERY EXTENSIVE AND NATIONALLY CONTROLLED

This chart describes the standards of proof of Soviet arms control violations used in the GAC Report. It concludes that Soviet concealment strongly suggests that the activities being concealed by the Soviets are violations.

IV. FINDINGS AGREEMENTS WITHOUT COMPLIANCE PROBLEMS

- ACCIDENT AVOIDANCE DIRECT COMMUNICATIONS LINK/HOT LINE AGREEMENT OF 1903, AMENDED 1971
- . USSR-U.R. ACCIDENTS AGREEMENT OF 1971 (1 IMADVERTENT VIOLATION)
 LISSR-UNITED KINGDOM ACCIDENTS AGREEMENT OF 1973
- . USSR-FRANCE ACCIDENTS AGREEMENT OF 1978
- NONPROLIFERATION
- OMPROLITERATION NOMPROLIFERATION TREATY OF 1958 HINDPLINES FOR MUCLEAR TRANSFERS, IARA INFCIRCISOS OF 1976
- . GUIDELINES FOR NUCLEAR TRANSFERS, IAEA INFCIACIZEA DE 1978 PROTOCOL II OF THE TREATY OF TLATELOCO (LATM AMERICAN NUCLEAR FREE ZONE), USAN MATIFICATION 1878
- P CONVENTION ON THE PHYSICAL PROTECTION OF NUCLEAR MATERIAL, USSR NATIFICATION 1983

- OUTER SPACE TREATY OF 1987

There are some international agreements that the GAC committee decided the Soviets have complied with.

AVAILABLE SOVIET MOVEMENT TOWARD COMPLIANCE

- SOVIETS HAVE MANY OPPORTUNITIES TO IMPROVE THEIR COMPLIANCE TERMINATE ARMS CONTROL RELATED COVER AND DESCRIPTION

 - ACTIVITES

 OISMANTLE ABALOAKOVO RADAN

 TERMINATE FLAT TWIN DEVELOPMENT

 CEASE USING AIR-DEFENSE FOUIPMENT DURING MISSILE FLIGHTS
 - BUILD DOWN SS-19 TO PRE-SALT I THROW WEIGHT LEVELS
 - DESTROY SS-18 FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT
 TERMINATE DEVELOPMENT OF SS-X-26 (PLS)

 - DISMANTLE 36 EUROPEAN SS-20 LAUNCH FACILITIES
 DESTROY BW FACILITIES AND WEAPONS
 IMPROVE NUCLEAR TEST CONTAINMENT

 - DECLARE AS-3 (KANGARGO) AS LONG RANGE CRUISE MISSILE
 CORRECT MBFR WARSAW FACT FORCE LEVELS
 NOTIFY UNITED NATIONS OF ALL SPACE LAUNCHES
 - . MOVE AIRCRAFT CARRIER ACTIVITY FROM BLACK SEA

The basic mossage of this chart is that the Soviets must give up their strategic superiority over the United States — gained by their SALT violations — in order to come into compliance with the SALT treaties. Restated, the Soviets have gained overwhelming strategic superiority over the United States through their SALT violations, and they thus will be very refuctant to correct these violations.

OBSERVATIONS: U.S. APPROACH TO VERIFICATION AND COMPLIANCE

U.S. VERIFICATION CAPABILITIES USUALLY PREDICATED UPON SOVIET PROCEDURES REMAINING RELATIVELY CONSTANT

5

- UNLIKELY IN CASE OF DECEPTIVE VIOLATION
 - U.S. RECONNAISSANCE CAPABILITY NOT OMNISCIENT
 - RECORD OF SOVIET BEHAVIOR SUGGESTS NEED FOR MORE SKEPTICISM

BAC report observes that the U.S. attitude toward verification has tended fore too optimistic, too ready to disbolieve Stalin's instructions to his diplomats:

"Words are one thing, actions another."

SOVIET CONDUCT WITH RESPECT TO ARMS CONTROL COMMITMENTS IN FORCE, 1958 — 1983

MACLIAN LINE MACHINE
MOCKETAN LIST WORKS AND LIST WORKS AND LIST OF PROPERTY OF THE SHAPE AND LIST OF THE
WOMA INTERIOR OF 1923 - 1921-1 CONVENTION OF 1923 - 1921-1 CONVENTION OF 1924 - 1921-1
CONVEYTING OF 1821 - 1921-7 OF 1875 OF 1875 OF 1875
OF FIGURE 1993 - 1993-107 OF FIGURE 1993 - 1994-107 OF FIGURE
OF 1953 - 1974. T SALT I APP ANN 1992.10* OF 1953 - 1974. T SALT I APP ANN 1992.10* SALT I APP ANN 1992.10* SALT I APP ANN 1992.10*
THE U.S. O. 1862 - 1867-14. THE U.S. O. 1862 - 1867-14. CONSTITUTION OF 1863 - 1867-15. CONSTITUTION OF 1863 - 1867-15. BUT LONG 1863 - 1867-15. DO 1853 - 1867-15. BUT LONG 1864 - 1867-16. BUT
IN CUMA, 1842 . 1952.1C* LIMITED TEST RAN PACATY OF 1863 . 1952.7 SALT AND ARM . 1952.7 SALT AND ARM . 1952.7
LIMITED TEST RAN MONITORIUS CONVENTION OF 1938 - 1975.75 MONITORIUS OF 1987 S-705 - 1987.85
INITED TEST BAN 1999-170 CONVENTION OF 1938 - 1935-T BREZHIEV OF 1993 - 1997-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-
TREATY OF 1963 - 1995-T** CONVENTION OF 1938 - 1975-T BREZHITY OF 1995 - 1997-KC
TREATY OF 1943
SALT I AND ANN
SALT I AND ANN
IN CURA, 1962 - 1978-76-IC* AND DECEPTION - 1972-T SALT II TREATY
OF 1979 CCD 1979.7
OF 1972 SALT I. SALT II THEATY
FXCFSS BALLISTIC OF 1979 SS.10
MISSILE LAUNCHERS . STR.T LAUNCHERS . 1979.T
the second secon
ARM TREATY DE 1
1177 MOVEABLE (IF 1070 PLAPES
MADAN . 1979-1 NEW 144E ICHM4 - 1983-1
ANY TREATY OF 1979
MYM PERIPHERAL
RADAN - 1991.F

COMPARISON: OF REPORTS

. CASP	RFFORT TO COMUSE NO	GAC'S PEPDAT	
14. BIOLOGICAL WARFARE CONVENTION	VIOLATIONS .	AMITALIOME	
Ib. DENEVA PROTOCOL (C.W.)	VIOLATION OF DELIGATIONS UNDER CULTINARY INTERNATIONAL LANS AS RADIFIED BY PROTOCOL	EULTHMANHARM DALLWARM	
2. HELBINKI FINAL ACT	VIOLATION OF POLITICAL	VIOLATION	
3. KRAŠNOVANSK RADAR	ALMOST CERTAINLY	VIOLATION .	
E. SALT FARD II, NON-CONCEALMENT PROVISIONS	SEFENEINA - W AINTVINM ENCHALLIUM ON MICCIFS	CONCERTMENT NAME CONCERTMENT NAME THAT INCHES AND THAT INCHES	
L 89-X-25	AMRIGUOUS BUT PRORASLE VIOLATION	bushvaff Akif stand	
L \$5-19	AMRICUOUS BUT PROPABLE	SHUM COMENDENCE!	
THRESHOLD TEST BAN	. LIKELY VIOLATION	SUSPICION OF VIOLATION	

This chart compares President Reagan's unclessified Report to Congress of Jan. 23, 1994, on seven Soviet arms control violations, with the GAC Report treatment of the same seven Soviet violations, in three cases — numbers 3, 5 and 6 — the GAC report's conclusions are stronger than the president's report.